

Characterizing GelMA Stiffness for 3D Tumor-Microenvironment Studies

Arjun Aron (arjunaron@gmail.com), Peter Ta, Dr. Keyue Shen La Cañada High School, Class of 2018 Laboratory for Integrative Biosystems Engineering, SHINE 2017

Introduction

Engineered Tumor Models

• In Dr. Shen's lab, researchers look to study the interaction between tumors and their microenvironment using engineered models.





Figure 1. 2D patterning of cancer (green) and stromal cells (red) P.C.: Peter Ta

Figure 2. Cartoon image of tumor microenvironment. P.C.: Nature

• Our lab mainly utilizes 2D models, but 3D models are more representative of the *in vivo* setting.

Hydrogel Use in Culturing

- Hydrogels (highly cross-linked polymer networks) serve as the scaffolding material for these 3D models.
- Gelatin methacrylate (GelMA) is a highly customizable hydrogel that interacts well with cells and is biodegradable, making it ideal for culturing.

Our goal is to characterize GelMA hydrogels to create 3D cultures that effectively replicate the in vivo environment.





Figure 4. Binding of methacrylic anhydride to the gelatin and subsequent crosslinking by UV light creating final version of gel. P.C.: Nichol et al.,



Figure 5. Process of crosslinking GelMA. (A) GelMA in pre-polymer solution. (B) Exposure to UV radiation. (C) Crosslinked GelMA hydrogel.

Mechanical Testing





Results and Discussion GeIMA 5% w/v 50 GeIMA 10% w/v GeIMA 15% w/v **(k)**⁴⁰₃₀ Stress 20 10 20 40 10 30 Strain (% Compression)

Figure 7. Stress vs Strain curve for different w/v ratios of the 8% degree of methacrylation batch.

- As strain increases, need much more force to continue compression of disks.
- Higher w/v ratios have steeper curvature.
- Linear range was from 0 to 10% strain.



Figure 8. Compressive modulus for the different GeIMA formulations. Note: we were unable to test 15% w/v of 0.25% degree of methacrylation because it did not properly crosslink.

- For each degree of methacrylation, average value of compressive modulus increases as w/v ratio increases.
- High methacrylation groups have higher average values of compressive modulus in comparison to low degree counterparts.
- Exception: 5% w/v group.
- Here, the average value for the high degree of methacrylation is actually lower than the value for the low degree group.



Figure 9. Different w/v samples of 8% methacrylation degree.

Summary

- Greater w/v \rightarrow greater stiffness.
- Greater methacrylation \rightarrow greater stiffness.
- Broad possible ranges of stiffness

Future Steps

- Culture different cell types onto differing GeIMA hydrogels to optimize stiffness for the cell types.
- Also, could calculate true degree of methacrylation in the crosslinked samples.

References

Alemany-Ribes, M., & Semino, C. E. (2014). Bioengineering 3D environments for cancer models. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 79-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2014.06.004

Nichol, J. W., Koshy, S. T., Bae, H., Hwang, C. M., Yamanlar, S., & Khademhosseini, A. (2010). Cell-laden microengineered gelatin methacrylate hydrogels. Biomaterials, 31(21) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.03.064

Luk, S., Hicks, D. F., Elman, J. S., Bohr, S., Iwamoto, Y., . . . Parekkdan, E (2014). Resolving cancer-stroma interfacial signalling and interventions with micropatterned tumour-stromal assays. Nature Communications. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6662

Acknowledgments

I want to thank all of the Ph. D., undergraduate, and high school students I have had the pleasure of interacting with during the course of this summer. I would also like to thank Dr. Katie Mills for giving me this incredible opportunity, all of the SHINE coordinators who made sure that everything went smoothly, and my SURE mentor, Josh Posen. Finally, I would like to thank my extremely patient and helpful mentor Peter Ta and of course Dr. Keyue Shen for allowing me to work in his lab over the summer.